Te Kāwhatitanga — Somatic Contact with Wai-māori:

Meeting Colonisation PTSD in the Body

Ruku I'Anson (May 2026)

Whakapapa of Colonisation Series — Paper Two

Abstract

The Introduction and Paper One of this series established Colonisation PTSD as a clinical category, traced its transmission through the Poison Ivy mechanism, and introduced Te Tūāhuatanga — the act of naming the displacement — as the first phase of healing. This paper opens the second phase: Te Kāwhatitanga, somatic contact with wai-māori. Drawing on somatic trauma theory (van der Kolk, 2014; Levine, 2010), Polyvagal Theory (Porges, 2011), sensorimotor psychotherapy (Ogden et al., 2006), and Māori understandings of wai as the medium of healing and life transmission. This paper argues that Colonisation PTSD cannot be healed through cognitive and narrative work alone. The wound lives in the body — in the nervous system, in the breath, in the held posture of a person who learned to make themselves small — and it must be met there. The paper maps the somatic signatures of the seven displacement layers identified in Paper One and examines why the body cannot be bypassed in decolonisation healing work. It introduces wai-māori as the specific somatic healing medium in te Ao Māori; traces the polyvagal conditions required for somatic contact to be safe and productive. It describes what Te Kāwhatitanga looks like across different clinical contexts; and explores the concept of the body's cultural memory — the somatic retention of cultural knowledge that the mind was instructed to forget. The paper connects the somatic phase to Te Kaha, Step Three of Te Ngāhau Kiri, and builds the clinical bridge toward Paper Four: Te Whakahuatanga, the metabolisation phase.

Keywords: somatic trauma, Te Kāwhatitanga, wai-māori, Polyvagal Theory, Colonisation PTSD, body-based healing, cultural memory, Te Poutama Ora, Te Ngāhau Kiri, decolonisation

1. Introduction: After Naming, the Body Speaks

Paper Two of this series closed with a bridge: naming — Te Tūāhuatanga — changes the neurological conditions in which the wound is held, moving it from implicit to explicit memory and creating the preconditions for deeper work. But naming, on its own, does not complete the healing. This is one of the most important clinical lessons in trauma work, and one that is frequently misunderstood in practice settings that remain primarily cognitive and narrative in their orientation.

Van der Kolk's (2014) foundational contribution to trauma science is encapsulated in the title of his landmark text: the body keeps the score. Colonisation PTSD is not merely a set of beliefs, narratives, and inherited shame responses held in the mind. It is a lived somatic reality — encoded in the nervous system, held in the musculature, expressed in the breath, present in the posture of a person who learned, across generations, that their body was not safe to fully inhabit. The person who can now name their displacement from whakapapa may still walk through the world with the body of someone who does not quite deserve to take up space. The person who has named their whakamā may still feel their throat close when they are asked to speak with authority. The naming has located the wound correctly. But the body has not yet received that news.

Te Kāwhatitanga — somatic contact with wai-māori — is the phase of healing in which the body is brought into the work. The word kāwhatitanga holds within it the quality of flowing contact — of something meeting another thing with the quality of water rather than the quality of force. This is precisely the clinical orientation required for somatic trauma work: not the forcing of change, but the patient creation of conditions in which the body can, of its own intelligence, begin to release what it has been holding.

Wai-māori — living water, sacred water, the water of wellness — is the specific healing medium through which this contact is made in te Ao Māori. This paper will argue that wai-māori is not merely a metaphor in this context. It is a clinical and cosmological framework for the somatic healing of Colonisation PTSD that integrates the biological reality of the body's water-dependent regulatory systems, the traditional Māori understanding of wai as the medium of mauri transmission, and the specific colonial wound of wai-māori displacement documented in the companion Taha Auaha series.

> *"The mind learns the name of the wound. The body needs to learn it too, and the body learns differently — not through language, but through contact, through breath, through the slow reclamation of safety in the nervous system."*

2. The Body in Colonisation PTSD: Somatic Signatures of the Seven Displacements

Each of the seven displacement layers identified in Paper Two has a somatic signature — a specific way it lives in the body of the person carrying it. Understanding these somatic signatures is essential knowledge for kaiārahi working with Colonisation PTSD, because the body will often present its story before the person has the words to tell it. The kaiārahi who can read the somatic text has access to the wound at its most fundamental level.

Displacement layer	Somatic signature	What the kaiārahi may observe
Papatūānuku (Land)	Disconnection from ground; body rarely at rest in stillness; hyperarousal in open spaces or unfamiliar environments; gravitational insecurity	*Restlessness; difficulty sitting in silence; tendency to be perpetually busy; panic or discomfort when stillness is invited; the person who cannot stop moving*
Te Reo (Language)	Chronic throat constriction; held breath in the upper chest; voice that diminishes in authority or volume when speaking about identity; somatic contraction when asked to speak te Reo	*Voice that trails off; swallowing mid-sentence; throat clearing as avoidance; the person whose voice physically shrinks when they name themselves as Māori*
Whakapapa (Lineage)	Chronic tension in the chest and upper back; a physical loneliness that registers as somatic ache rather than emotional pain; difficulty with sustained eye	*Posture of mild collapse; the person who sits slightly turned away; a quality of physical absence even when present;*

Displacement layer	Somatic signature	What the kaiārahi may observe
	contact; body oriented away from connection	*the body that has learned not to expect connection*
Mātauranga (Knowledge)	Head-dominant processing; body neglected as a source of information; somatic intelligence dismissed as "just feelings"; chronic tension in the jaw and neck from the effort of cognitively managing what the body is trying to communicate	*Highly articulate about their experience but disconnected from physical sensation; the person who reports emotions analytically without any corresponding physical movement*
Marae / Hapū (Community)	Social nervous system under-nourished; hypervigilance in group settings; fight-or-flight activation in community contexts that should feel safe; the body in a constant low-level threat-scan	*Scanning behaviour in rooms; difficulty relaxing in groups; the person who sits near exits; chronic tension across shoulders and upper back; smile that does not reach the eyes*
Tuakiri (Self / Identity)	Chronic self-monitoring expressed somatically as physical smallness; pulled-in posture; habitual minimisation of physical space; the body performing rather than inhabiting; exhaustion that does not resolve with rest	*Rounded shoulders; collapsed chest; voice that rises at the end of statements as if seeking permission; the person whose body consistently shrinks in the presence of authority*
Taha Pūtea (Financial Papa)	Chronic contraction around scarcity; body braced against insufficiency even when resources are present; hypervigilance to financial threat	*Difficulty receiving; apologising for taking up resources, time, or space in the therapeutic relationship; minimising needs before they are expressed;*

Displacement layer	Somatic signature	What the kaiārahi may observe
	expressed somatically as jaw tension, restricted breathing, shallow sleep; the nervous system running a constant resource audit; exhaustion from the metabolic cost of perpetual lack; shame carried in the body as a low, heavy weight that resists disclosure. compulsive gathering or ordering behaviours that function as nervous system regulation rather than conscious choice	*accumulation behaviours — holding onto objects, food, money, or information beyond current need, as though the body is preparing for a deprivation that never fully ended (whakapapa of poverty); repetitive rituals around restoring order, filling space, or recreating a sense of sufficiency — behaviours that bring momentary relief but do not resolve; a body that cannot fully settle even in safety — as though rest itself feels unaffordable*

Three somatic presentations warrant specific attention because they correspond to the three unnamed dysfunctions identified in Paper One — the patterns almost never directly named in standard practice.

The body that carries inherited violence holds a particular somatic charge: a chronic activation in the sympathetic nervous system that has only ever been given one channel of discharge. Violence, in this context, is not a moral failure. It is the body's best available answer to a charge it was given no other way to release. Levine (2010) describes how trauma energy that cannot be discharged through completion becomes frozen in the nervous system and continues to drive behaviour until it finds a discharge route. The somatic work with inherited violence is not the suppression of the charge, but the creation of new discharge channels — movement, breath, voice, creative expression — that the original wound never permitted.

The body carrying relational abandonment presents quite differently: as a body that has learned not to need. The attachment system — whose somatic expression is the social

engagement system in Porges's (2011) framework — has been repeatedly disappointed, and the body's adaptive response has been to withdraw from the risk of connection altogether. This presents as a kind of physical self-sufficiency that looks like resilience but registers, on closer attention, as a chronic held quality in the chest and throat, a quietness in the face, a stillness that is not peace but the performance of not-needing. The somatic work here is the restoration of the body's permission to reach toward connection.

The body carrying suppressed ambition presents as chronic physical smallness — pulled-in posture, collapsed chest, habitual minimisation of the body's presence in space. "Who do you think you are?" was not only a verbal message. It was a somatic instruction that the body learned to enact. The healing, accordingly, is somatic before it is cognitive: the practice of physically taking up space, of breathing fully into the chest, of allowing the body to stand at its full height, before the mind has worked out what to do with the expanded presence.

3. The Whakamā Without a Shape

The whakamā that poverty produces are different in character from the political shame of colonisation that this paper has been describing. The political shame has visible targets — the history that can now be named, the anger that has somewhere to go, the injustice that can be pointed at. The poverty whakamā has no such target. It is the shame of something that had no language, no shape, and — crucially — no drama.

I was a teenager when I sat in a room at a Baptist youth session and listened to young people name their troubles. Abuse. Trouble with the law. Damage with recognisable edges and weight. When it came to my turn, all I could say was that I was unhappy. I felt humiliated by the smallness of it. What was wrong with me, that I was unhappy when I had nothing to complain about? I was a straight-A student. The good child. Lonely and invisible in ways that had no crisis to them, no recognisable shape that anyone — including me — could name as a wound.

That is the whakamā without a shape. When the wound has no language, when it has never been called a wound at all, you carry it not as a colonial inheritance but as a personal failing. The house you could not bring friends to becomes your fault. The unhappiness becomes your problem. The invisibility has no one to blame — and so you blame the only person available, which is yourself. This is also Colonisation PTSD. Not

the political kind with a name in the public discourse, but the intimate kind: the child who does not know why she is sad, in a room full of people who cannot see what she is carrying, because what she is carrying looks, from the outside, like nothing at all. Naming this form of the wound is the same first clinical act as naming all the others. It requires the same precision, and the same permission.

I realised later whilst writing this series that I was experiencing normative abuse; the treatment, the poverty, loneliness from a loss of identity that had become natural and acceptable.

4. Why the Body Cannot Be Bypassed: Somatic Science and Decolonisation

The dominance of talk-based therapeutic models in Western clinical practice reflects a broader cultural tendency to privilege the cognitive over the somatic — the mind over the body, the rational over the felt. This tendency is not neutral. It has its own colonial genealogy. Fanon (1963) identified the colonial project as, among other things, a project of cognitive control — of replacing indigenous epistemologies with European rational frameworks. Delegitimising the body-based, relational, and spiritual knowledge systems that colonised people had used to understand their world. The privileging of talk-based therapy over somatic approaches in contemporary mental health services is not simply a technical choice. It is, to some extent, a continuation of that project.

Van der Kolk (2014) makes the scientific case unambiguously: trauma changes the brain's architecture in ways that cognitive and linguistic processing cannot fully reach. The trauma that was encoded in a pre-verbal or non-verbal register — including the intergenerational transmission of Colonisation PTSD. The child receives through the nervous system of the caregiver rather than through explicit communication — must be reached through the register in which it was encoded. The body must be included in the healing, because the body is where the wound lives.

Levine (2010) extends this argument through his somatic experiencing framework, proposing that trauma is fundamentally an incomplete biological response — a protective activation of the nervous system that was never allowed to discharge and complete. In the context of Colonisation PTSD, this incompleteness spans generations: the activation produced by the original colonial contact — the land confiscation, the language removal, the strapping in the classroom — was never discharged in the generation that experienced it. It transmitted forward, as van der Kolk (2014) and

Yehuda and Lehrner (2018) both confirm, through biological and relational mechanisms that continued the incomplete discharge sequence across multiple generations. The somatic work of Te Kāwhatitanga is, in Levine's terms, the completion of that sequence.

Why cognitive approaches alone cannot complete the healing of Colonisation PTSD

Colonisation PTSD encodes in implicit (non-verbal, somatic) memory → cognitive and narrative work operates primarily in explicit memory → the wound in implicit memory continues to drive behaviour even when explicitly named → somatic approaches reach the implicit register → the body can complete what the nervous system was never allowed to complete → the charge that has been held across generations finds its discharge → integration becomes possible

5. Wai-māori as Somatic Healing Medium

In te Ao Māori, wai — water — is not simply a physical substance. It is the medium through which mauri (life essence) flows; the element that connects all living things; the expression of Tangaroa, atua of the sea and rivers, whose domain encompasses all water bodies from the ocean to the tears on a child's face. Wai-māori specifically designates water in its living, uncontaminated state: fresh water that has not been corrupted, sacred water that carries healing properties, the water of wellness that contrasts with waipiro — the corrupted, deadening water of alcohol.

The companion Taha Auaha academic series has documented in detail the colonial chemical displacement of wai-māori by waipiro in Māori communities: how alcohol arrived as a trade good and became, through deliberate colonial management, the primary vehicle through which the tension of dispossession was managed in Māori bodies, severing the wai-waiata connection — the relationship between water, breath, and voice that had been the foundation of Māori somatic and creative life. That displacement is itself a somatic wound: the body's relationship to its own healing medium was corrupted, and the regulatory intelligence that wai-māori supported was undermined.

The restoration of wai-māori contact as a somatic healing practice operates across several dimensions simultaneously. At the most literal level, it involves the body's reorientation to fresh water as a healing element — through drinking practices, through

connection to awa (rivers) and roto (lakes), using water in karakia and ritual contexts. At a broader somatic level, wai-māori is a metaphor for the quality of regulated, flowing nervous system activity that is the opposite of the frozen, charged, or collapsed states produced by Colonisation PTSD. The body that is in contact with its own wai-māori — its own living, flowing, self-regulating intelligence — is a body that can begin to complete what was never completed.

The clinical image from the Taha Auaha series is instructive: the man who visits his ancestral awa each Monday morning to open his fast, who spends the week in deliberate wai-māori contact, who composes a waiata naming his grandfather's river, and who sings it on Friday to close the fast. This is not a romantic image. It is a precisely calibrated somatic protocol. The Monday visit to the awa establishes wai-māori contact at the level of the physical body and the spiritual relationship simultaneously. The fast creates the conditions for the body's own autophagy — its natural capacity to metabolise what it no longer needs. The waiata engages the somatic dimensions of voice, breath, and vibration that are the body's most direct route to nervous system regulation. The Friday closing declaration, Te Tuku, transmits the completed work forward. The whole sequence is somatic healing in its most integrated form.

> *"Wai-māori is not a metaphor to be explained. It is a medium to be contacted. The body already knows water. What colonisation disrupted was the body's relationship to the water that heals."*

6. Polyvagal Theory and the Safety Conditions for Somatic Contact

Porges's (2011) Polyvagal Theory provides the neurophysiological framework for understanding why somatic contact requires specific safety conditions that must be established before deeper somatic work can proceed. The theory describes three hierarchical states of the autonomic nervous system; each associated with a distinct behavioural and physiological profile.

The ventral vagal state — the most evolutionarily recent — is the state of social engagement, safety, and connection. In this state, the face is expressive, the voice is melodic, the heart rate is regulated, breathing is full, and the body is available for connection and learning. This is the state in which somatic healing work is possible. It is also, for many people carrying Colonisation PTSD, the state they have the most difficulty accessing, because it requires the felt sense of safety — and the body shaped

by Colonisation PTSD has learned, across generations, that safety is not reliably available.

The sympathetic state — fight or flight — is the state of mobilisation against threat. Many people carrying Colonisation PTSD live primarily in this state: perpetually ready to respond, chronically scanning for danger, unable to settle into the ventral vagal availability that somatic healing requires. The body in this state cannot receive wai-māori contact, because it is not available to receive anything — it is organised entirely around defence.

The dorsal vagal state — freeze, collapse, and dissociation — is the most ancient response, deployed when threat is overwhelming and neither fight nor flight is available. This is the state of shutdown, of going elsewhere while remaining physically present, of the chronic flatness and disconnection that characterises the most deeply wounded presentations of Colonisation PTSD. The body in dorsal vagal freeze is the furthest from wai-māori contact. But it is also, paradoxically, the state in which the most profound healing is possible once safe access is established — because the freeze represents an enormous quantity of held energy that, when safely discharged, produces transformative change.

The clinical implication for Te Kāwhatitanga is clear: the somatic work cannot begin until there is sufficient ventral vagal access — sufficient felt safety in the body — for the work to proceed safely. Establishing that access is itself a somatic intervention, and it may require considerable time and patience before the deeper contact becomes possible. Ogden and colleagues (2006) describe this as working within the "window of tolerance" — the zone of nervous system arousal in which the person is activated enough to be present to their experience but not so activated that they are overwhelmed by it. Te Kāwhatitanga operates within this window, using wai-māori practices to gradually expand it.

The Three Polyvagal States in Colonisation PTSD

Ventral vagal (safe, connected): the healing state — where wai-māori contact is possible; where the body can receive and integrate. Sympathetic (mobilised, defended): the chronic state for many carrying Colonisation PTSD — the body alert to threat that is no longer present. Dorsal vagal (frozen, collapsed): the most defended state — the body in shutdown; the furthest from contact but holding the

most energy for transformation. Te Kāwhatitanga: the gradual, patient creation of ventral vagal conditions sufficient for safe somatic contact

7. The Body's Cultural Memory: What the Somatic System Retained

One of the most significant and least theorised dimensions of somatic work with Colonisation PTSD is the concept of cultural memory in the body. The mind was instructed to forget. The language was suppressed, the tikanga was forbidden, the connection to tīpuna was severed from the official family narrative. But the body was not given the same instructions — or, more precisely, the body does not obey cognitive instructions about what it is permitted to remember.

Siegel's (2010) work on implicit memory and procedural learning suggests that the body retains learned patterns far below the threshold of conscious awareness, and that these patterns can be activated by environmental and relational cues that bypass cognitive processing entirely. This is the mechanism by which trauma transmits — but it is also the mechanism by which cultural knowledge transmits. The Māori person who has no conscious relationship with te Reo may still find that the sound of karakia produces a somatic response that they cannot explain. The person whose family has been urban for three generations may still find that proximity to the ocean or to a river activates something in their body that is not anxiety and is not nostalgia but something older and more fundamental: a resonance with a relationship their body has not forgotten even when their mind was told to.

This is the gift embedded in the somatic work of Te Kāwhatitanga. The kaiārahi does not need to rebuild the client's cultural connection from scratch. The body is already holding it, in its own somatic register, waiting for permission to surface. The wai-māori practices — the karakia over water, the connection to awa, the waiata, the Maramataka rhythms — are not imposing something new. They are providing a conduit for what the body already knows to come forward into consciousness, where it can be integrated with the naming work of Paper Two.

Mead (2003) describes the concept of kawa — the protocols and practices that govern the interaction between people and the world — as existing not merely as intellectual knowledge but as embodied practice, transmitted through the doing of it across generations. The interruption of that transmission by colonisation did not destroy the body's readiness for it. What was severed was the context, the permission, and the

community. Te Kāwhatitanga restores those conditions, and the body responds — sometimes with grief for what was withheld, sometimes with a recognition that feels almost like coming home, and sometimes with both simultaneously.

> *"The body did not forget what the mind was told to forget. Cultural memory is somatic before it is linguistic. The healing does not teach the body something new — it gives the body permission to remember what it never stopped holding."*

8. Te Kāwhatitanga in Practice: What Somatic Contact Looks Like

The somatic practices of Te Kāwhatitanga do not fit neatly into Western clinical frameworks, because they are not primarily individual interventions delivered in a therapy room. They are practices that bring the body into relationship with its natural and cultural environment — with water, with land, with voice, with rhythm, with the lunar calendar that has always governed the body's relationship to time. The kaiārahi task is not to administer these practices but to create the conditions in which the client can find their own somatic contact with wai-māori.

8.1 Breath as primary somatic access

Breath is the most immediately available somatic intervention, and the one with the most direct connection to the wai-māori metaphor. Breath is the body's water — it flows, it regulates, it can be held or released, and its quality is the most immediate indicator of the body's nervous system state. The person in sympathetic activation breathes shallowly and rapidly, in the upper chest, holding the pattern of readiness for threat. The person in dorsal vagal shutdown breathes minimally, as if trying to take up as little space as possible. The invitation to breathe fully, slowly, and deeply into the lower belly is, in Polyvagal terms, a direct vagal toning intervention — and in wai-māori terms, it is an invitation to let the body's living water begin to flow.

Karakia — the Māori practice of prayer, incantation, and blessing — is, among other things, a sophisticated breathing practice. The steady, rhythmic exhalation required to chant karakia activates the parasympathetic nervous system through the extended exhale, creates co-regulation through shared rhythm when practised in community, and simultaneously connects the body to its cultural memory through the sound and form of te Reo. For many Māori clients who have been disconnected from cultural practice, karakia may be the single most accessible entry point for somatic work — even for

those who would not describe themselves as spiritual kaiārahi — because the body responds to the sound independently of the mind's beliefs about it.

8.2 Voice and waiata as somatic regulation

Waiata — song, chant, the musical expression of te Reo — is one of the most powerful somatic healing tools in the Māori cultural repertoire, and its healing properties are now extensively confirmed by somatic trauma research. Levine (2010) identifies the voice as the primary somatic expression of the social engagement system: the melodic, rhythmic use of voice activates the myelinated vagus nerve, produces co-regulatory resonance in groups, and creates the ventral vagal conditions in which somatic healing proceeds. The tradition of waiata in te Ao Māori is therefore not merely cultural expression — it is a sophisticated somatic regulation technology that colonisation disrupted precisely by removing te Reo.

The clinical use of waiata in Te Kāwhatitanga does not require the client to be a singer or to have existing repertoire. It may begin as simply as the kaiārahi humming in the room or inviting the client to make any sound that feels comfortable or exploring the somatic experience of voice as vibration in the body. The destination is the full-voiced, breath-supported expression of waiata as a somatic completion practice — but the journey toward that destination is itself healing.

8.3 Connection to awa and natural water

For many clients carrying Colonisation PTSD, the most powerful somatic contact with wai-māori is physical: standing at the edge of a river, sitting beside the sea, feeling rain, drinking water slowly and with attention. The body's response to natural water is not merely psychological. Water environments activate the parasympathetic nervous system through multiple sensory channels simultaneously — the sound of water, the negative ionisation of the air near moving water, the visual cues of non-threatening movement — creating the neurological conditions for ventral vagal access.

In the context of whakapapa, the connection to a specific awa — the river of one's tīpuna — carries additional dimensions of healing that go beyond the neurological. Many Māori people have ancestral relationships to specific bodies of water that are encoded in their iwi name, pepeha, and tikanga. Restoring contact with that water is both a somatic and a relational act: it reconnects the body to a genealogy that the displacement from

land severed, and it activates the cultural memory that the body has been holding in waiting.

8.4 The Maramataka as somatic rhythm

The Maramataka — the Māori lunar calendar — is, at its most fundamental level, a framework for synchronising the body's rhythms with the natural rhythms of the lunar cycle. Te Poutama Ora incorporates the Maramataka as its temporal spine. Te Ngāhau Kiri draws on that spine to structure the nine-day cycles of practice, in alignment with the lunar phases that the Maramataka identifies as most conducive to different kinds of activity, rest, and renewal. In somatic terms, this alignment is itself a healing practice: the body that has been chronically dysregulated — living in fight-or-flight activation, cut off from natural rhythms by the artificial time structures of industrial modernity — is invited, through Maramataka practice, to relearn the rhythm of its own natural regulatory intelligence.

9. Te Ngāhau Kiri and Te Kaha: Step Three as Somatic Re-patterning

Within Te Ngāhau Kiri, the third step of the nine-step framework is Te Kaha — Strength — and it is the longest and most embodied step in the programme. Where Te Ohorere (Step One) invites observation and Te Whakamana (Step Two) invites goal-setting and intention, Te Kaha asks the person to build new practices in their body — to take what was named and intended and make it somatic, habitual, lived.

The three practice methods of Te Kaha — the routine anchoring approach for Starters, the three-tier integration system for Middlers, and the daily tracking method for Finishers — are all somatic re-patterning tools. They work by using the body's existing neural pathways (established routines, anchors, completion loops) as scaffolding for new patterns. The nine-day cycle structure is calibrated to the neuroscience of habit formation: the minimum period required for a new neural pathway to begin consolidating under conditions of consistent practice. The Maramataka integration adds the somatic dimension of natural rhythm — the new practice is not merely repeated; it is timed to the body's natural energetic states across the lunar cycle.

The nine-day resistance intensification protocol in Te Kaha — Te Whakapakari 9-Rā — is specifically designed to meet the somatic resistance that arises when new practices begin to threaten the established patterns of Colonisation PTSD. The body that has learned to make itself small will resist, somatically, the practice of taking up space. The

body that has learned not to need will resist the practice of allowing nourishment. The resistance intensification protocols are somatic tools for working with this resistance: naming it, expecting it, and establishing in advance what a somatic default response looks like when the resistance arrives.

Te Kaha is, in this sense, the full embodiment of Te Kāwhatitanga: the point at which somatic contact with wai-māori becomes not an occasional clinical intervention but a living, daily practice that the body begins to know as its own.

10. The Bridge to Te Whakahuatanga: From Contact to Metabolisation

Te Kāwhatitanga creates somatic contact with the wound. But contact is not yet metabolisation — it is the precondition for it. The person who has made somatic contact with Colonisation PTSD — who can feel it in the body, who can breathe into the held places, who can stand at the awa and feel the resonance of what their body has been holding — has accomplished something profound. They have brought the wound out of the abstract and into the lived. But the wound is not yet transformed. The charge is not yet discharged. The body knows something it did not know before, but it has not yet completed the biological sequence that releases what it has been holding.

Te Whakahuatanga — the fasting and the filling — is the metabolisation phase: the point at which the body is given the conditions to complete the biological process that somatic contact has initiated. In physiological terms, this corresponds to the autophagy mechanisms that the body uses to break down and recycle cellular material that is no longer serving its function — a process that the Maramataka's fasting rhythms have always supported and that modern research confirms is both physically therapeutic and neurologically significant. In clinical terms, it corresponds to the period after somatic contact when the body is integrating the change that contact initiated, and when the most important kaiārahi behaviour is the provision of safe conditions for completion rather than the introduction of new interventions.

Paper Four will trace this metabolisation arc in full. The bridge between this paper and that one is the clinical recognition that somatic contact — however powerful, however precisely calibrated — is not an endpoint. It is an opening. The body, once in contact with its own wai-māori, knows what to do next. The kaiārahi task in the transition between Te Kāwhatitanga and Te Whakahuatanga is not to direct the process but to trust it — and to ensure that the conditions for safe completion remain in place.

> *"You do not teach the body how to heal. You create the conditions in which the body can do what it already knows how to do. That is what wai-māori contact makes possible."*

11. Implications for Practice

11.1 Training and kaiārahi self-awareness

Somatic work with Colonisation PTSD requires kaiārahi to have developed their own somatic awareness and, where relevant, their own relationship with the somatic practices described in this paper. The kaiārahi who has not engaged with their own wai-māori contact — who has not developed their own capacity to move between nervous system states, to read somatic cues in themselves and in others, to work with the body as an instrument rather than a container for cognitive content — will not be able to facilitate this phase of healing effectively. This is not a moral judgement. It is a practical reality. Somatic work is co-regulatory: the kaiārahi nervous system participates in the therapeutic encounter, and its quality of regulation directly influences what becomes possible for the client.

11.2 Working within cultural context

The wai-māori practices described in this paper carry specific cultural dimensions that require kaiārahi to work in relationship with Māori cultural knowledge and its holders. This does not mean that non-Māori kaiārahi cannot support clients through Te Kāwhatitanga — but it does mean that the cultural specificity of wai-māori healing practices must be honoured rather than extracted. The most effective work in this space involves collaboration between Western-trained kaiārahi with somatic skills and kaumātua, tohunga, and community knowledge holders who carry the living context of the practices. Smith (2012) is clear that decolonisation requires the active participation of those whose knowledge systems were colonised — not as consultants to a Western clinical process, but as co-holders of the healing architecture.

11.3 Pacing and patience

The most common clinical error in somatic trauma work is premature depth: moving into somatic contact before sufficient ventral vagal safety has been established, thereby retraumatising rather than healing. The kaiārahi who has understood the Polyvagal framework and its application to Colonisation PTSD will pace the work deliberately,

spending as much time as is needed establishing the safety conditions before moving toward the wound. This patience is not passivity — it is the most sophisticated skill the somatic work requires.

12. Conclusion: The Body That Has Been Waiting

Te Kāwhatitanga — somatic contact with wai-māori — is the phase of healing in which the wound that was named comes into the body's knowing. It is the phase that cannot be bypassed, the work that is fundamental to every other work, the clinical recognition that healing Colonisation PTSD is not only a cognitive and narrative task but a somatic one — that the body must be included in the liberation of the identity that colonisation sought to suppress.

The seven somatic signatures of the seven displacement layers provide the kaiārahi with a map of the body in Colonisation PTSD. The Polyvagal framework provides the neurophysiological understanding of why somatic safety must precede somatic work. The wai-māori framework — breath, voice, water, rhythm, cultural memory — provides the specific healing medium through which that work proceeds in a Māori cultural context. The body's cultural memory — its somatic retention of what the mind was instructed to forget — provides the extraordinary resource that makes this work not a reconstruction but a restoration.

The body has been waiting. Not passively — the waiting has cost it immensely, in tension and vigilance and the exhaustion of carrying what was never supposed to be carried this long. But the waiting has also been a form of preservation. The wai-māori that colonisation displaced is still flowing, somewhere beneath the surface of the wound. Te Kāwhatitanga is the work of finding it.

> *"The river does not forget its bed. Even when the water has been dammed, diverted, or poisoned — the bed remains, waiting for the water to return. This is what wai-māori healing means. The channel is already there. The work is removing what is blocking the flow."*

References

Brave Heart, M.Y.H. (2003). The historical trauma response among natives and its relationship with substance abuse: A Lakota illustration. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 35(1), 7–13.

Durie, M. (1998). Whaiora: Māori health development (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Fanon, F. (1963). The wretched of the earth (C. Farrington, Trans.). Grove Press.

Herman, J.L. (1992). Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence — from domestic abuse to political terror. Basic Books.

Levine, P.A. (2010). In an unspoken voice: How the body releases trauma and restores goodness. North Atlantic Books.

Mead, H.M. (2003). Tikanga Māori: Living by Māori values. Huia Publishers.

Moewaka Barnes, H., & McCreanor, T. (2019). Colonisation, hauora and whenua in Aotearoa. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 49(S1), 19–33.

Ogden, P., Minton, K., & Pain, C. (2006). Trauma and the body: A sensorimotor approach to psychotherapy. W.W. Norton.

Pihama, L., Reynolds, P., Smith, C., Reid, J., Smith, L.T., & Te Nana, R. (2014). Positioning historical trauma theory within Aotearoa New Zealand. AlterNative: An International Journal of Indigenous Peoples, 10(3), 248–262.

Porges, S.W. (2011). The polyvagal theory: Neurophysiological foundations of emotions, attachment, communication, self-regulation. W.W. Norton.

Reid, P., & Robson, B. (2007). Understanding health inequities. In B. Robson & R. Harris (Eds.), Hauora: Māori standards of health IV. Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare.

Siegel, D.J. (2010). The mindful therapist: A clinician's guide to mindsight and neural integration. W.W. Norton.

Smith, L.T. (2012). Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples (2nd ed.). Zed Books.

van der Kolk, B. (2014). The body keeps the score: Mind, brain and body in the transformation of trauma. Viking.

Walker, R. (1990). Ka whawhai tonu mātou: Struggle without end. Penguin.

Yehuda, R., & Lehrner, A. (2018). Intergenerational transmission of trauma effects: Putative role of epigenetic mechanisms. World Psychiatry, 17(3), 243–257.