

## **From Unconscious Colonisation to Conscious Liberation: Te Tūāpapa - The Foundational Journey of Te Poutama o te Ora**

### **Abstract**

This paper examines Steps 1-3 of Te Poutama o te Ora, a transformation sequence moving individuals from unconscious colonisation to conscious sovereignty. These steps—Te Ohorere (The Awakening), Te Whakatūria tō Mana (Establishing Authority), and Te Whakawhanake i tō Kaha (Developing Strength)—provide pathways for recognising dependency patterns, establishing intentional practices, and building resistance capacity. Grounded in Māori concepts of mana (authority), kaha (strength), and tino rangatiratanga (self-determination), the framework addresses how contemporary systems colonise attention, resources, and wellbeing. This analysis explores the theoretical foundations of awareness cultivation, boundary establishment, and resistance development, examining how these steps prepare individuals for the deeper work of Steps 4-9. The framework positions wellness challenges as systemic colonisation patterns requiring individual and collective resistance, not personal failings.

### **Introduction**

Sustainable behaviour change requires moving beyond intellectual understanding into embodied practice and sustained resistance against systems designed to maintain dependency. Contemporary wellness interventions focus on individual behaviour modification but overlook how systemic forces actively maintain patterns of consumption, distraction, and disconnection (Han, 2015). Te Poutama o te Ora explicitly names these forces as colonisation and positions wellness work as resistance and reclamation.

Steps 1-3 establish the foundation through three interconnected phases: awareness cultivation (Te Ohorere), authority establishment (Te Whakatūria tō Mana), and strength development (Te Whakawhanake i tō Kaha). These steps prepare individuals for the

deeper transformation of Steps 4-9, which move from resistance into sovereignty reclamation, mastery development, and flourishing. This paper analyses the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and transformative potential of these foundational steps, examining how they position wellness work as decolonisation practice rather than individual self-improvement.

## **Step 1: Te Ohorere (The Awakening)**

### **Cultivating Awareness Without Judgment**

Te Ohorere initiates transformation through structured awareness cultivation. Self-monitoring increases conscious awareness of automated behaviours, creating foundation for intentional change (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1983). The seven-day tracking protocol across five wellness dimensions (Whakapapa/connection, Tinana/body, Tuakiri/identity, Wairua/spirit, Hinengaro/mind) provides comprehensive assessment of patterns operating below conscious awareness.

The framework emphasises observation without judgment. Self-criticism following awareness of unwanted patterns triggers defensive responses, denial, or further maladaptive behaviour rather than positive change (Neff, 2011). By framing assessment as information-gathering rather than performance evaluation, Te Ohorere creates psychological safety for honest self-examination.

### **Dimensional Assessment and Goal Prioritisation**

The dimensional structure addresses a common change failure: attempting transformation across too many domains simultaneously, leading to cognitive overload and abandoned efforts (Baumeister & Tierney, 2011). By requiring individuals to rank dimensions based on suffering and priority levels, the framework creates focused attention enabling sustainable progress. Specific, measurable goals outperform vague intentions (Locke & Latham, 2002).

The ranking system (1-9 scale identifying items needing immediate, moderate, or minimal attention) transforms abstract awareness into concrete priorities. This

addresses decision fatigue whereby excessive choices deplete mental resources for implementing changes (Vohs et al., 2008). By narrowing focus to three priority goals from highest-ranked challenges, individuals concentrate limited change capacity on domains offering greatest impact.

## **Reframing Patterns as Colonisation**

Te Poutama o te Ora's distinctive contribution is reframing wellness challenges as colonisation rather than personal deficiency. This shift addresses internalised oppression whereby individuals blame themselves for systemic problems (Freire, 1970). Digital dependency isn't weakness but engineered compulsion; financial stress isn't poor planning but extractive economic systems; body disconnection isn't laziness but industrialised food environments. This reframing transform shame into righteous resistance.

For Māori and other colonised peoples, this lens is powerful. Historical trauma research demonstrates how colonisation's ongoing effects manifest as health disparities, economic disadvantage, and cultural disconnection (Brave Heart, 2003). Recognising contemporary wellness challenges as continued colonisation honours historical reality while empowering resistance. What mainstream wellness positions as individual pathology, Te Poutama o te Ora names as systemic violence requiring collective response.

## **Step 2: Te Whakatūria tō Mana (Establishing Authority)**

### **From Awareness to Intentional Practice**

Te Whakatūria tō Mana transforms awareness into mana—the authority to make choices and capacity to act with intention. In te Ao Māori, mana exists inherently but strengthens through consistent action (Durie, 1998). This reframes behaviour change from acquiring external skills to reclaiming inherent power. The step's focus on establishing authority addresses socialisation patterns teaching certain groups (women,

colonised peoples, marginalised communities) to defer their judgment, accommodate others' preferences, and question their right to boundaries (Alberti & Emmons, 2017).

The SMART goal framework (Te Whakatakato tō Mahere) converts vague intentions into specific implementation plans. Specifying when, where, and how actions will occur increases follow-through compared to mere goal-setting (Gollwitzer, 1999). Rather than 'I want to be more present with family,' the person commits to 'One meal daily with family, phones in another room.' This specificity eliminates decision-making at crucial moments when willpower is limited.

## **Habit Stacking and Environmental Design**

The framework emphasises attaching new practices to existing routines—'habit stacking' (Clear, 2018). By linking desired behaviours to established patterns, individuals leverage existing neural pathways rather than creating entirely new ones. 'After dinner, we walk' is more sustainable than 'I should exercise more,' because the trigger (dinner completion) automatically cues the behaviour (walking).

Environmental design receives explicit attention through physical boundary creation. Rather than relying solely on willpower—a limited resource that depletes throughout the day (Baumeister & Tierney, 2011)—the framework advocates structural changes that make desired behaviours easier and undesired behaviours more difficult. Device drop zones, shoes by the door, and prepared walking gear shift behaviour without requiring constant conscious effort. Environmental factors often outweigh personal motivation in determining actions (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008).

## **Maramataka Integration and Natural Rhythms**

Integration of Māori lunar calendar (Maramataka) wisdom acknowledges natural energy fluctuations. Rather than imposing constant productivity expectations, the framework encourages aligning practice intensity with capacity variations. Honouring circadian and other natural cycles improves performance and wellbeing compared to rigid schedules (Foster & Kreitzman, 2004). High-energy lunar phases support ambitious new practices; low-energy phases call for planning, reflection, and restoration.

This integration embodies decolonisation itself. Colonial time structures impose linear, productivity-focused frameworks that deny natural rhythms and dismiss indigenous knowledge systems (Smith, 1999). Reconnecting with lunar cycles restores relationship with the natural world while honouring Mātauranga Māori. The practice becomes cultural reclamation alongside wellness development.

## **The Three-Tier Integration System**

The framework's three-tier structure (daily non-negotiables, regular practices, aspirational rhythms) prevents the perfectionism trap whereby missing any practice triggers abandonment of all efforts. This graduated approach acknowledges that sustainable change requires flexibility rather than rigid adherence (Hayes et al., 2012). Daily non-negotiables establish baseline consistency; regular practices develop capacity; aspirational rhythms provide direction without creating failure when missed.

The framework emphasises tracking practice rather than perfection. Simple checkmarks noting whether practices occurred avoid shame spirals following performance monitoring. This distinction is essential: tracking outcomes (weight lost, money saved) often triggers discouragement when results lag efforts; tracking behaviours (walked, reviewed budget) reinforces identity as someone who shows up, regardless of immediate results (Fogg, 2019).

## **Step 3: Te Whakawhanake i tō Kaha (Developing Strength)**

### **Building Resistance Capacity**

Te Whakawhanake i tō Kaha addresses a critical reality: systems actively resist individual liberation. When individuals begin protecting their attention, time, or resources, dependency systems escalate tactics designed to restore compliance. Algorithms intensify engagement triggers; social pressure increases; convenience becomes more seductive. Platforms, advertisers, and other extractive systems employ sophisticated methods to maintain user engagement and resource extraction (Zuboff, 2019).

The distinction between mana (authority) and kaha (strength/capacity) is crucial. Mana represents the right to choose; kaha represents the capacity to sustain those choices when systems push back. Step 2 establishes sovereignty; Step 3 builds the resistance muscle enabling that sovereignty's maintenance. This progression mirrors stages of change research whereby initial action requires different supports than long-term maintenance (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1983).

## **The Nine-Day Resistance Protocol**

The nine-day intensification protocol deliberately stresses boundaries to identify weaknesses requiring strengthening. Each day targets specific resistance capacities: time blocking, physical boundaries, habit reinforcement, minimum viable practice, purpose articulation, social commitment, resistance planning, consistency tracking, and boundary protection. This transforms abstract commitment into concrete skills.

Progressive resistance training principles apply to psychological capacity as they do to physical strength (Bandura, 1997). Gradually increasing challenge while maintaining success develops self-efficacy—confidence in one's capacity to execute behaviours necessary for desired outcomes. The nine-day structure provides sufficient challenge to build capacity while remaining achievable enough to maintain momentum. Each completed day strengthens not just the specific practice but meta-capacity for sustaining difficult commitments.

## **Architectural Boundaries and System Design**

Beyond daily willpower, the framework emphasises 'architectural boundaries'—structural systems making resistance automatic rather than requiring constant conscious effort. Device segregation (work vs. personal), space segregation (phone-free zones), and time segregation (communication windows) create environments where dependency cannot easily penetrate. Choice architecture influences decisions (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008).

Architectural boundaries are important for addressing the exhaustion inherent in constant boundary negotiation. When every interaction requires active resistance,

individuals deplete limited self-control resources (Baumeister & Tierney, 2011).

Structural systems reduce this load by making boundary maintenance default rather than exception. The phone lives in the drawer after 7pm; the decision happens once rather than every moment thereafter.

## **Authority Statements and Social Resistance**

Social pressure often exceeds personal temptation in difficulty. The framework prepares individuals for resistance against community expectations through authority statement practice. The linguistic shift from justification ('I can't because...') to declaration ('I don't' or 'That doesn't work for me') embodies sovereignty claim. Statements without justification are more effective for boundary maintenance than explanatory responses that invite negotiation (Alberti & Emmons, 2017).

This practice addresses gendered and cultural patterns whereby certain groups undergo socialisation emphasising accommodation and explanation. Women especially receive messages that boundaries require justification and agreement (Gilligan, 1982). Indigenous and colonised peoples face similar pressure wherein resistance to dominant culture practices triggers accusations of antisocial behaviour or failure to assimilate. Authority statement practice positions boundary-setting as legitimate exercise of mana rather than antisocial rejection.

## **Collective Resistance and Community Power**

The framework explicitly encourages collective resistance through resistance circles—small groups meeting regularly to share experiences, strategise responses, and support each other's boundary maintenance. This recognises that individual transformation is insufficient for challenging systemic colonisation; collective power enables sustainable resistance and eventual systemic change (Freire, 1970). Community normalises boundaries that dominant culture positions as extreme; shared strategies are stronger than individual innovation; collective resilience sustains resistance when personal capacity wavers.

This emphasis on collective action distinguishes Te Poutama o te Ora from individualistic wellness frameworks. While personal sovereignty is essential, it never exists in isolation. Indigenous worldviews position individual wellbeing as inseparable from collective thriving; personal healing serves community liberation (Durie, 1998). The framework honours this understanding by building community resistance alongside personal capacity.

## **Theoretical Framework: Colonisation, Resistance, and Liberation**

### **Wellness as Decolonisation Practice**

Te Poutama o te Ora's foundational premise positions contemporary wellness challenges as colonisation forms rather than personal failings. This framework draws from postcolonial theory examining ongoing colonial impacts (Smith, 1999), critical pedagogy analysing oppression maintenance and resistance (Freire, 1970), and indigenous sovereignty movements asserting self-determination rights (Durie, 1998).

Surveillance capitalism research demonstrates how digital platforms extract attention and personal data for profit, creating dependency relationships mirroring colonial resource extraction (Zuboff, 2019). Financial systems concentrate wealth while maintaining debt-dependent populations. Food industries engineer hyper-palatable products disrupting natural hunger signals. Each system colonises a dimension of human experience, extracting value while maintaining dependency.

### **The Role of Mana and Kaha**

The framework's use of mana (authority, spiritual power) and kaha (strength, capacity) as organising concepts grounds transformation work in Māori worldview while providing sophisticated behaviour change model. Mana exists inherently—every person possesses right to sovereignty over their life. However, mana requires activation and protection through consistent action. This reframes wellness work from acquiring external solutions to reclaiming inherent capacity.

Kaha represents the developed capacity to sustain sovereignty claims when systems resist. This distinction between right (mana) and capacity (kaha) addresses why knowing better doesn't automatically translate to doing better. Self-determination theory similarly distinguishes between autonomous motivation and competence, recognising that desire for change requires different support than capacity for sustaining change (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

## **Progressive Resistance and Sustainable Change**

The three-step foundation progression mirrors stages of change research whereby transformation moves through distinct phases requiring different interventions (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1983). Precontemplation becomes contemplation through awareness cultivation (Step 1). Contemplation becomes preparation through practice establishment (Step 2). Preparation becomes action through resistance development (Step 3). This structured progression prevents common failures whereby individuals attempt advanced work before establishing foundations.

The nine-day cycle structure reflects indigenous time-keeping and natural rhythms while providing neurologically optimal change duration. Habit formation requires 18-254 days depending on complexity, with simpler behaviours requiring less time (Lally et al., 2010). Nine-day cycles enable frequent success experiences and course corrections while building toward longer-term automaticity.

## **Discussion and Implications**

Steps 1-3 of Te Poutama o te Ora provide comprehensive foundational framework moving individuals from unconscious colonisation to conscious resistance. The progression from awareness cultivation through authority establishment to strength development addresses multiple transformation dimensions while maintaining cultural grounding and political consciousness. This foundation is essential for subsequent sovereignty reclamation, mastery development, and flourishing addressed in Steps 4-9.

The framework's positioning of wellness challenges as colonisation rather than personal failing distinguishes it from conventional interventions treating symptoms without addressing systemic causes. This reframing reduces shame, increases motivation, and enables collective resistance impossible when challenges appear individual. For Māori and other colonised peoples, this lens honours historical reality while empowering contemporary liberation.

The integration of Mātauranga Māori concepts (mana, kaha, tikanga, Maramataka) within evidence-based behaviour change methodology exemplifies cultural reclamation while incorporating useful approaches from other knowledge systems. This represents decolonising practice itself—neither wholesale rejection of Western science nor abandonment of indigenous knowledge, but intentional integration privileging indigenous perspectives.

The framework's emphasis on collective resistance alongside personal transformation addresses limitations of individualistic wellness approaches. While personal sovereignty is necessary, collective power enables systemic change addressing root causes rather than merely managing symptoms. This aligns with indigenous values emphasising interconnection and positions individual healing as contribution to collective liberation.

Future research should examine framework effectiveness across diverse populations and contexts. Longitudinal studies tracking individuals through foundational steps into advanced transformation (Steps 4-9) would illuminate sustainability patterns and optimal support needs. Investigation of cultural adaptation requirements for different indigenous and colonised communities could inform broader applications while maintaining cultural integrity. Additionally, examination of how foundational work affects subsequent stages would test the framework's theoretical model empirically.

## **Conclusion**

Steps 1-3 of Te Poutama o te Ora establish essential foundation for transformation work: awareness without judgment, authority through intentional practice, and strength through progressive resistance. By positioning wellness challenges as colonisation

forms requiring collective resistance rather than personal failings requiring individual correction, the framework reduces shame while increasing motivation and enabling systemic engagement. The integration of Māori concepts (mana, kaha, tikanga, Maramataka) with behaviour change research exemplifies how indigenous knowledge systems provide sophisticated frameworks addressing contemporary challenges while maintaining cultural integrity.

These foundational steps prepare individuals for deeper sovereignty work addressed in Steps 4-9: reclaiming sovereignty, developing mastery, honouring journeys, clarifying purpose, embodying power, and living in full flourishing. Together, the nine-step progression provides comprehensive pathway from unconscious colonisation to conscious liberation, from dependency to sovereignty, from fragmentation to wholeness. As individuals and communities navigate increasing systemic complexity and extraction, frameworks like Te Poutama o te Ora offer crucial pathways for reclaiming mana, developing kaha, and living in tino rangatiratanga—complete self-determination and flourishing.

## References

- Alberti, R., & Emmons, M. (2017). *Your perfect right: Assertiveness and equality in your life and relationships* (10th ed.). Impact Publishers.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Tierney, J. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the greatest human strength*. Penguin Press.
- Brave Heart, M. Y. H. (2003). The historical trauma response among natives and its relationship with substance abuse: A Lakota illustration. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 35(1), 7–13.
- Clear, J. (2018). *Atomic habits: An easy & proven way to build good habits & break bad ones*. Avery.
- Durie, M. (1998). *Whaiora: Māori health development* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

- Fogg, B. J. (2019). *Tiny habits: The small changes that change everything*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Foster, R., & Kreitzman, L. (2004). *Rhythms of life: The biological clocks that control the daily lives of every living thing*. Yale University Press.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development*. Harvard University Press.
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation intentions: Strong effects of simple plans. *American Psychologist*, 54(7), 493–503.
- Han, B.-C. (2015). *The burnout society*. Stanford University Press.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., & Wilson, K. G. (2012). *Acceptance and commitment therapy: The process and practice of mindful change* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Lally, P., van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W., & Wardle, J. (2010). How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998–1009.
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705–717.
- Neff, K. (2011). *Self-compassion: The proven power of being kind to yourself*. William Morrow.
- Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 51(3), 390–395.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78.
- Smith, L. T. (1999). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples*. Zed Books.

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press.

Vohs, K. D., Baumeister, R. F., Schmeichel, B. J., Twenge, J. M., Nelson, N. M., & Tice, D. M. (2008). Making choices impairs subsequent self-control: A limited-resource account of decision making, self-regulation, and active initiative. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(5), 883–898.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.